



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/774,689 | 02/10/2004 | Louis Holder | 20807.0003 | 7394 |
| 28752 759 | 0 07/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP | | | TIEU, BENNY QUOC | |
| | SIEGEL BUILDING | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1 CHASE ROAI SCARSDALE, 1 | | | 2614 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/774,689 | HOLDER, LOUIS | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Benny Q. Tieu | 2614 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period fo | r Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe | ebruary 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) <u> </u> | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/23/06</u> . | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/774,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figs. 1-3 do not label for elements 105-113. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/774,689 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DiCamillo et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0061100).

Regarding claim 1, DiCamillo et al. teach a method for transmitting information between two or more points, comprising:

receiving a virtual number at a first intermediate point (Fig. 1, 18) from at least one originating point (Fig. 1, 16);

converting the virtual number into at least one physical number (page 3, paragraphs [0029] - [0031]);

determining a second intermediate point based on the at least one physical number (paragraph [0034]);

determining at least one destination point based on the at least one physical number (paragraph [0034]); and

transmitting information between the at least one originating point and the at least one destination point (paragraph [0035]).

Regarding claim 2, DiCamillo et al. further teach the method wherein the receiving comprises establishing a communication path between the first intermediate point and the at least one originating point (Fig. 1, 20).

Regarding claim 3, DiCamillo et al. inherently teach the method wherein the converting comprises comparing the virtual number to a routing table because the call is routed from caller to called party.

Regarding claim 4, DiCamillo et al. further teach the method wherein the determining a second intermediate point comprises establishing a communication path between the first intermediate point and the second intermediate point (Fig. 1, 36).

Regarding claim 5, DiCamillo et al. further teach the method wherein the determining at least one destination point comprises establishing a communication path between the at least one destination point and the second intermediate point (Fig. 1, 48).

Regarding claim 6, DiCamillo et al. teach an apparatus for transmitting information between at least two points, comprising:

a first intermediate point (Fig. 1, 18) operatively connected to at least one originating point (Fig. 1, 16) to receive a virtual number, wherein the virtual number is converted into at least one physical number (page 3, paragraphs [0029] - [0031]);

a second intermediate point (Fig. 1, 29) capable of communicating with a the first intermediate point (Fig. 1, 18) over a computer network (Fig. 1, 30);

at least one destination point (Fig. 1, 46) operatively connected to the second intermediate point 29, wherein the second intermediate point is determined based on its proximity to the at least one destination point (Fig. 1, 42).

Regarding claim 7, DiCamillo et al. further teach the apparatus wherein the virtual number comprises an area code, wherein the area code is within a local calling area of the at least one originating point (paragraph [0024]).

Regarding claim 8, DiCamillo et al. further teach the apparatus wherein information is transmitted over the computer network based on packets (paragraph [0035]).

Regarding claim 9, DiCamillo et al. further teach the apparatus wherein information is transmitted to and from the originating and destination points based on analog signals (paragraph [0024]).

Regarding claim 10, DiCamillo et al. further teach the apparatus wherein the first and second intermediate points are capable of analog to digital conversion and digital to analog conversion (paragraph [0024]).

Regarding claim 11, DiCamillo et al. further teach the apparatus wherein the first and second intermediate points comprise servers (Fig. 1, 22 & 28).

Regarding claim 12, DiCamillo et al. further teach the apparatus wherein the at least one originating point and the at least one destination point comprise telephones (Fig. 1, 12 & 42).

Regarding claim 13, DiCamillo et al. inherently teach the apparatus wherein the virtual number is converted into at least one physical number based on a routing table because the call is routed from caller to called party.

Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Art Unit: 2614

(571) 273-7490, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Q. Tieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 6:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benny Q. Tieu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614 June 25, 2006